

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/603,323 06/26/2000		Fang Sun	5003073.005US2	3143
29739 SMITH MOO	7590 07/12/2007 RELIP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 21927 GREENSBORO, NC 27420		•	NUTTER, NATHAN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./
CONTROL NO.

FILING DATE
FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /
PATENT IN REEXAMINATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09603323

6/26/00

SUN ET AL.

5003073.005US2

SMITH MOORE LLP P.O. BOX 21927 GREENSBORO, NC 27420 EXAMINER

Nathan M.. Nutter

ART UNIT PAPER

1711

20070709

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
:	09/603,323	SUN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	

	Nathan M. Nutter	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affetice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 20-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Nathan M. Nutter	Lull
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 1/11

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 13. Other: The reference to Woodrum shows the production of the web, as claimed herein. While the reference teaches the use of a SAP instead of the pre-SAP and neutralizing agent, as herein recited, the ultimate product is identical. The neutralizing agent of the instant claims produces the SAP, as disclosed. As such, the difference is the point in time of the addition. Since the claims are not drawn to a process, and the subject matter is fully disclosed by the reference, the rejection thereover is being maintained. It would be a variant that a skilled artisan would be well-apprised. Further, the reference to Akers is relied upon for the reasons set out in the Final rejection. The teaching thereof is suggestion enough to motivate one of ordinary skill to modify the constituents of the Woodrum reference. Applicants have failed to show any clear reasoning as to why not. Nothing unexpected has been shown on the record.